EVALUATIONS

* Both NOUVION and Lecomte stated 'Henri Paul'. However, photo shows 'Paul, HENRY'.
** It was XM2 blood from the 1st autopsy that was split into 3. One was sent to Dumestre-Toulet for CDT testing with a new label. This CDT test did not take place!
*** This figure was in fact transposed from the first evaluation.

Pepin claimed to Professor Forrest that when the Gendarmes collected the box of 9 samples in 2005, he managed to extract 1ml of blood and repeated some of the tests. He said he established a carboxyhaemoglobin level of 17%. The alcohol level was lower and all the drugs found in the first test were detected again, albeit at different levels. The alcohol level was not stated, just lower. The drug levels were also lower, but the CO level of 17% was still too high. NO REPORT WAS SEEN. The only blood sample in that box had '2147' on its lid. Lecomte says that 1 sample from the 2nd autopsy was retained at IML. Dated 04/09/1997, 972147, fluor, CAMPANA. Presumably "1", 'FD'. (HP1 relabelled). This was handed over to Nouvion for Paget.

There was an electricity breakdown for 15 days. The samples were moved, but Lecomte stated that she didn't know where to.

Documents given included a copy of Receipt of Body, dated 31/07/97. Was this a Freudian slip? Was the '7' changed to '8' I the label? Pepin did not test or send Sample FG. He split the XM2 sample, re-sealed part of it and sent that instead - if at all. He didn't photograph it.

CDT TEST

Dumestre-Toulet says the test was on Sept. 16th 1997. However, the date on the faxed testing chart is 10th. She and Pepin signed the report on the 17th. But Pepin said the sample was not sent until the 19th. First Dumestre-Toulet said it was her signature on the post receipt, then she instantly denies it. Her excuse was that didn't have her glasses on.

The only explanation is the Pepin sent it to himself. The postmark is from was arrondissment 18. It was sent to Pepin also in arrondissment 18. Dumestre-Toulet's signature was misspelled (Toulle). That was how Judge Stephan spelled it on his instruction! The result chart does not have a name or an IML no.

STATEMENT 29 March 2007 - Item D 1374

971037: alcohol figure of 1.74 was copied from 971026.
971026: the sample was all used up.
971037: the figures were presented as Appendices to the full Report 971037 and are all the results of tests carried out on specimens from the 31/08/97 autopsy.

Pepin lies about drug containers of those he detected being found at Henri Paul's home and office. None were found at his home or office and only Aotal, which was NOT detected, was found (empty pack) in his office. No date was reported on the pack!

At the inquest, MacLeod tried to pressure Professor Vanezis into agreeing that the blood was Henri Paul's. MacLeod ignored all the inconsistencies like XM, CO and Albendazole. Vanezis was not a toxicologist, he was a pathologist. MacLeod never put these questions to the toxicologists. MacLeod was deliberately leading Vanezis.

Keen asked about the 2nd autopsy on 04/09/1997, Pepin's 3rd evaluation. He states that Dumestre-Toulet received a sealed bottle. At the inquest, she denied this. Pepin testified that he conducted tests on that sample, which means the bottle must have been opened.

In March 2006, questioned by the Met Police, Pepin stated that it was completely used up in the Sept. 1997 tests. Yet to Judge Stephan he said it was not all used up. MacLeod says he thought the lid and label had "2147, Henri Paul". This not true.

Pepin stated that he did not take notes. I wonder why not!